



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,243	02/17/2004	Florian Kehrer		9665
7590	12/11/2006		EXAMINER	
Francis C. Hand, Esq. c/o Carella, Byrne, Bain, Gilfillan, Cecchi, Stewart & Olstein 5 Becker Farm Road Roseland, NJ 07068			BUSHEY, CHARLES S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	
			DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No. 10/780,243	Applicant(s) KEHRER, FLORIAN
	Examiner Scott Bushey	Art Unit 1724

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

THE REPLY FILED 27 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: _____.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.



12-7-06

Scott Bushey
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1724

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are based upon a misreading of the Acker et al reference. Specifically, to state that the embodiment of Figure 7 of the reference must include features that it clearly does not, cannot be a basis for a persuasive argument against the application of the reference to the pending claims. There is simply no teaching or suggestion of the metal spacer elements (26) existing in combination with the apex portions of the porous element (52) of Fig. 7 of the reference. Further, applicant's argument that the device of Fig. 7 of the reference would be inoperable without the metal spacers (26) applied over the porous apices of element (52) of the reference is likewise unpersuasive. On page 4 of the response filed November 27, 2006, the sentence bridging the first two lines of the last full paragraph thereon, applicant's representative states, "Clearly, the embodiment of Fig. 7 has plates 40 that form evaporative cooling channels as in Fig. 4." The Examiner agrees. Close inspection of Fig. 4 of the reference indicates that the lateral edges of spacer elements (26) lie vertically over the inclined portions of the plates (40), thus allowing for all of the liquid dripping from the lateral edges to fall upon the plates, not between them. Further, when the plates (40) and clamping means (36) are placed within the spaces between the porous apices of Fig. 7 of the reference, the lateral edges of the apices of the porous element (52) would also be expected to overlie the inclined portions of the plates. Given a fair understanding of fluid dynamics, as would be excepted of one having ordinary skill in the art, such a person would recognize that the vast majority of the liquid dripping from the porous apices (52) of the reference, with or without spacer caps (26) lying thereover, the latter situation being that as disclosed by the reference, would drip from the lateral edges of the drip points (apices). Clearly, liquid dripping from such points would fall vertically onto the inclined portions of the plates (40), rather than between them. In summary, applicant's arguments are not persuasive and the rejections of record must stand.

Continuation of 13. Other: The sketch referred to throughout applicant's response has not been received.